IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., :

: CASE NO. 25-CV-01061

Plaintiff,

: JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO

V.

MAGISTRATE JENNIFER DOWDELL

THE NOTRE DAME COLLEGE, : ARMSTRONG

PETER J. CORRIGAN, : JUSTIN TISDALE, :

LEN BARKER, and :

CUYAHOGA COUNTY TREASURER, :

:

DEFENDANTS :

:

MOTION OF BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. TO EXPEDITE CONSIDERATION OF MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF AURORA MANAGEMENT PARTNERS AND DAVID BAKER AS RECEIVER FOR CERTAIN PROPERTY OF THE NOTRE DAME COLLEGE WITH CONSENT OF THE COLLEGE

Plaintiff, Bank of America, N.A. (the "<u>Plaintiff</u>" or "<u>Bank</u>"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this motion seeking to expedite consideration of its Motion for Appointment of Aurora Management Partners and David Baker as Receiver for Certain Property of the Notre Dame College with Consent of the College ("<u>Motion for Receiver</u>"), and requesting that a hearing be scheduled concerning the Motion for Receiver on or before June 20, 2025, at a time convenient for the Court. This request is made as the College's current funding from the Bank expires on July 1, 2025 and as previously represented to the Court, absent the appointment of a Receiver in whom the Bank has confidence, no further funding will be forthcoming from the Bank. Such a consequence threatens direct harm to all interested parties as the College, on its own, lacks the resources to maintain its remaining assets, and the Bank is unaware of any other potential lenders that will extend credit on the College's fully encumbered assets addressed in this action.

Case: 1:25-cv-01061-CAB Doc #: 9 Filed: 05/30/25 2 of 8. PageID #: 653

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

A. Events Leading to the Receivership Filing

1. Plaintiff filed its Motion for Receiver on May 23, 2025, contemporaneous with the

filing of its Complaint in the above-captioned case.

2. As detailed further in the Motion for Receiver, the Plaintiff has paid more than \$1.5

million in the last year to cover the winddown expenses of the College, including salaries and

employee benefits, and funds to secure, maintain and preserve the Collateral, which primarily

consists of the real property and improvements owned by The College of Notre Dame (the

"College"). See Dec. of Kelly Werbecki, Ex. A to Motion for Receiver, ¶¶ 41-46

3. Despite efforts to market and sell the Collateral over the past year, the College has

been unable to sell the Collateral through its own efforts. See id.

4. The Bank is unwilling to further fund the cost of maintaining the Collateral, which

exceeds \$100,000.00 per month, without the appointment of a receiver in whom the Bank has

confidence. See id.

5. The financing that the Bank is currently extending to the College to fund

maintenance and other aspects of the College's windown and liquidation expires July 1, 2025.

See Second Amendment to Post Default Liquidation and Funding Agreement, Ex. L to Motion for

Receiver, \P 2(a).

6. The Bank desires to have the Motion for Receiver heard on an expedited basis to

allow the Receiver to take control of the Collateral and put in place a new funding agreement with

the Receiver prior to July 1, 2025, when the current funding expires.

¹ All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the definition set forth in the Motion for Receiver.

7

Case: 1:25-cv-01061-CAB Doc #: 9 Filed: 05/30/25 3 of 8. PageID #: 654

7. If there is no receiver in place before July 1, 2025, funding for maintenance and

security of the Collateral will end, to the detriment of all parties with an interest or potential interest

in the Collateral.

8. Before filing the Complaint and Motion for Receiver, the Bank selected David

Baker and Aurora Management Partners to serve as receiver for the Collateral and consulted with

Mr. Baker regarding an appropriate order to position the receiver to act quickly, to obtain access

to the Collateral, and to begin a marketing and sales process.

9. The Bank also negotiated with the College to obtain its consent to the appointment

of a receiver for the Collateral and the proposed order attached to the Motion for Receiver.

10. On May 22, 2025, as the Bank was finalizing its pleadings in this proceeding, the

Attorney General of the State of Ohio (the "Attorney General") filed a Complaint (the "AG's

Complaint") in the Court of Common Pleas for Cuyahoga County, a copy of which is attached

hereto as **Exhibit A**. The AG's Complaint alleges that at some point prior to the College closing,

the College's Trustees inappropriately used restricted endowment funds for purposes outside of

their restricted use.

11. Notably, the Bank does not seek to have the College's restricted endowment funds

included as part of the receivership estate in this matter. To the extent that, despite that express

exclusion, the Attorney General believes that it has some claim to the Collateral at issue in this

proceeding, nothing would prevent the Attorney General from asserting such a claim in this

proceeding.

LEGAL BASIS AND ARGUMENT

12. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7.1, parties generally have seventeen (17) days from

service to respond to non-dispositive motions and a movant has an additional seventeen (17) days

3

to file a reply. LR 7.1(d)&(e). That would mean that the deadline to respond to the Motion for Receiver would be June 9, 2025.

- 13. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7.2, the Court will attempt to rule on a non-dispositive motion within thirty (30) days of it becoming ripe. LR 7.3.
- 14. However, Rule 16(a)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows for modification of schedules upon a showing of "good cause." Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(a)(4).
- 15. Pursuant to that Rule and for the reasons set forth herein, the Bank requests the following expedited schedule for consideration of the Motion for Receiver, which should allow the Court sufficient opportunity to rule prior to July 1, 2025:

Response deadline	June 9, 2025 (17 days
	from service)
Reply deadline	June 13, 2025
Hearing (if any)	On or before June 20,
	2025 at a time of the
	Court's choosing

- 16. The Bank believes that, given the exigent circumstances facing the College and its stakeholders, the proposed schedule is a reasonable schedule that would allow parties to file any responses to the Motion for Receiver in the timeframe established by Local Rule, avoiding prejudice to other parties.
- 17. Here good cause to expedite consideration exists because (i) the funding that currently allows for the security and maintenance of the Collateral will expire on July 1, 2025, and (ii) due to the high cost of securing and maintaining the Collateral, delays in the process of appointing the receiver and beginning the process of liquidating the Collateral will be costly for any parties who may have claims against the Collateral as that Collateral deteriorates without necessary and expensive protection and maintenance.

Case: 1:25-cv-01061-CAB Doc #: 9 Filed: 05/30/25 5 of 8. PageID #: 656

18. Good cause also exists because the Bank has, prior to the filing of the Motion for

Appointment, received feedback from both the College and the proposed receiver, to ensure that

the proposed order is acceptable to both parties.

19. Additionally, as noted above, the proposed schedule allows any other parties to file

their responsive papers (if any) by Rule and really only shortens the Bank's own time to file a

reply.2

EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF THIS MOTION

20. As this Motion allows any parties who wish to respond to the Motion for

Appointment a normal period to file their responsive memoranda, the Bank requests that the Court

consider this motion on an expedited basis.

WHEREFORE, Bank of America, N.A. requests that this honorable court enter the

proposed order, attached hereto as **Exhibit B**, expediting consideration of the Motion for Receiver

and setting a hearing on the Motion for Receiver no later than June 20, 2025.

Dated: May 30, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

s/Nancy A. Valentine

Amanda J. Martinsek (0058567)

Nancy A. Valentine (0069503)

Miller Canfield Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.

1100 Superior Avenue East, Suite 1750

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Phone: 216.716.5041/216.716.5040

Email: martinsek@millercanfield.com

valentine@millercanfield.com

-and-

² Prior to the filing of this Motion, Plaintiff served the Motion for Receiver upon all Defendants on May 23, 2025, in addition to the State of Ohio Attorney General and Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission (OHEFC). Subsequently, Plaintiff provided courtesy copies of the Motion for Receiver to counsel for each of the Defendants in this action on May 28 and 29, 2025.

5

Emily K. Devan (pro hac vice to be filed)
Linda V. Donhauser (pro hac vice to be filed)
MILES & STOCKBRIDGE P.C.
100 Light Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(410) 385-3413 (ph)
edevan@milesstockbridge.com
ldonhauser@milesstockbridge.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Bank of America, N.A.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 30th day of May, 2025, a copy of the foregoing Motion was served via first class mail, postage prepaid upon the following:

Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission (OHEFC) 25 South Front Street Columbus, OH 43215

Alexander Burlingame, Esquire Squire Patton Boggs, LLP 1000 Key Tower 127 Public Square Cleveland, OH 44114

U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association c/o David A. Schlabach, Vice President U.S. Bank Global Corporate Trust 6000 Lombardo Center, 1st Floor Cleveland, Ohio 44131

David Yost Attorney General of Ohio 30 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Ohio Attorney General's Office Charitable Law Section 30 E. Broad St., 25th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Attn: Tracy Wendt, Esquire Email: Tracy.Wendt@OhioAGO.gov

Colleen M. O'Neil, Esquire Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP The Calfee Building 1405 East Sixth Street Cleveland, OH 44114-1607 Email: CONeil@Calfee.com Joseph D. Carney, Esquire 1540 Peach Drive Avon, Ohio 40118-0000 Email: jdc@jdcarney.com Attorney for Defendants Corrigan, Tisdale, and Barker

Cuyahoga County Treasurer Brad Cromes 2079 East Ninth Street Cleveland, OH 44115

The Notre Dame College c/o Inglewood Associates LLC 9242 Headlands Road Mentor, Ohio 44060 Attn: Sam Steinhouse

s/Nancy A. Valentine

Nancy Valentine (0069503) One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff, Bank of America, N.A.